

A homoclinic hierarchy

Paul Glendinning ', Carlo Laing

Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Cambridge, Silver Street, Cambridge CB3 9EW, UK

Communicated by A.P. Fordy

Abstract

Homoclini معنه *KQWOF~S:* Bifurcation; Homoclinic orbit; Chaos

AMS classification: 58F13; 58F14

Keywords: Bifurcation; Homoclinic orbit; Chaos

A homoclinic orbit of an autonomous ordinary

motion in a tubular neighbourhood of the homoclinic at $\mu - \mu_H$. It this is the case we

which tends to a stationary say that there is a homoclinic bifurcation at p = pu.

certain con^{ti}ons described below, there is chaotic in the chaotic described below, the chaotic described below, the chaotic described below is chaotic described by the chaotic described below is chaotic described by the

create a single periodic orbit (see, e.g., Ref. [4] for a

 $\overline{}$, $\overline{}$

 $R_{\rm c}$, received and the centre of the centre in the family of differential equations is presented which, for suitable choices of differential equations is presented which, for suitable choices of differential equation

0375-9601/96/\$12.00 C 1996 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved SSDI 0375-9601(95)00953-1

typical parametrizations. If \mathbf{r}_1 is the orbit is not the orbit is stable if $\nu_1 + \lambda_1 < 0$, otherwise it is a saddle. (II) Saddle-focus homoclinic orbit. The set of

Simple examples of interesting dynamical phenomena have been constructed using a variety of

In coordinates (x_u, x_s, z) defined by $x = x_s e_s +$ techniques. Arnéodo, Coullet and Tresser [14] used $\dot{x}_u = \lambda_1 x_u$, $\dot{x}_s = \nu_1 x_s - z$, $\dot{z} = \epsilon_1 x_s + \nu_1 z$, (6) the adjoint eigenvectors of the linear part of a "seed" oppation to dating the counting between the counting with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 > 0$ and $\nu_1 \pm \sqrt{-\epsilon_1}$. Hence if and an extra variable in such a way that the linear $\epsilon_1 > 0$ the linear part of (3) satisfies the conditions of part of the new equation has the desired spectral case (IIa). Since homoclinic bifurcations are typicondition. We then appeal to perturbation theory and cally of codimension one we expect (at least for numerical experiment to suggest that the dynami- Ω those to bifurcations in (μ, ϵ) parameter space of the form of a homoclinic orbit) is inherited by the new equa- $\mu = H(\epsilon)$ with $H(0) = 0$ If this curve does exist then (5) provides an example of case (IIa) . can in turn be treated as a "seed" equation and the Similarly, if we consider process can be repeated. The use of adjoint eigenvectors is not entirely necessary (one could try trial and $\dot{w} = \epsilon_2 (e_0^{\dagger} \cdot x) + \lambda_1 w$, error) but ensures that complete control of the specral properties of the stationary point is maintained $\dot{x} = Ax - we_{n} + f(x, \mu),$ (7) are easy to find, so let and $\lambda_1 \pm \sqrt{-\epsilon_2}$ and so, using (4), under similar assumptions we obtain homoclinic bifurcations of (3) $\dot{x} = Ax + f(x, \mu)$

tion of the plane to itself which contains only nonlinear terms, $f(0, \mu) = 0$ and there is a homoclinic

$$
\dot{w} = \epsilon_2 (e_u^{\dagger} \cdot x) + \lambda_1 w,
$$

we should be able to find bifocal homoclinic bifurca- $\frac{1}{2}$ (case (III)) if a

eigenvectors (see e.g. Ref. [16] for a discussion of adjoint eigenvectors in dynamical systems). Thus $A^{\mathsf{T}}e_s^{\dagger} = \nu_1 e_s^{\dagger}, A^{\mathsf{T}}e_u^{\dagger} = \lambda_1 e_u^{\dagger}, e_s^{\dagger} \cdot e_u = e_u^{\dagger} \cdot e_s = 0$ and the eigenvectors can be normalized so that $e_s^{\dagger} \cdot e_s =$ $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{u}}^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{u}} = 1.$

SHOP REPORT OF THE CONSUMING AND A

Eq. (3) is the first member of the homoclinic hierarchy. Now define the extended system

$$
\dot{x} = Ax - ze_s + f(x, \mu),
$$

\n
$$
\dot{z} = \epsilon_1 (e_s^{\dagger} \cdot x) + \nu_1 z.
$$
\n(5)

dimensional system

 W \sim $\frac{1}{2}$ W \sim

$$
\dot{x} = y, \quad \dot{y} = 6x - y - 6x^2 + \mu xy,\tag{9}
$$

for which there is strong numerical evidence that a homoclinic orbit exists if $\mu = \mu_H \approx 1.164371$. For this example, in the notation of (3) ,

$$
A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 6 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f(x, \mu) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -6x^2 + \mu xy \end{pmatrix}, \tag{10}
$$

 N N N N N

 $< \lambda_1$ and

157

 $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}$

tions and numerically obtained normalized eigenvectors, that for $0.55 < \mu < 0.64$ the signed distance function is positive (and equal to 0.004617 at μ = 0.64 whilst for $0.65 < \mu < 0.71$ the signed distance function is negative (and equal to -0.002365 at μ = 0.65). This strongly suggests that for some values of μ between 0.64 and 0.65 there is a zero of the distance function, and hence a homoclinic orbit for the differential equation (12). Linear interpolation $b^{\text{decreasing}}$ $\omega = 0.64$ and $\omega = 0.65$ gives an approxi-

mate value of p = 0.6466 for the homoclinic bifurcation, in excellent agreement with the value obtained by following periodic orbits.

We have written down a hierarchy of differential equations which illustrate the four fundamental homoclinic bifurcations. In particular, we have obtained a smooth example of a bifocal homoclinic bifurcation (case (III)). So far as we are aware, this is the first such example (in Ref. [13] a piecewise linear example is studied, for which the existence of a bifocal homoclinic bifurcation can be proved using perturbation theory, but this does not satisfy the standard smoothness conditions of Shilnikov's results $[1,3]$ although the results can be trivially ex-

tended to such systems; the examples of \mathbf{r}

We look at the existence of bifocal homoclinic orbits in this light elsewhere [8]; in particular, we explore several codimension two bifurcations involving bifocal homoclinic bifurcations. The normal form (13) has codimension greater than two, and we consider this to be too large for useful analysis in the absence of some concrete physical motivation.

C.L. is grateful to the Cambridge Commonwealth

References

- [1] L.P. Shilnikov, Sov. Math. Dokl. 6 (1965) 163.
- [2] L.P. Shilnikov, Math. USSR Sb. 6 (1968) 427.
- [3] L.P. Shilnikov, Math. USSR Sb. 10 (1970) 91.
- [4] P. Glendinning and C. Sparrow, J. Stat. Phys. 35 (1984) 645.
- [5] P. Gaspard, R. Kapral and G. Nicolis, J. Stat. Phys. 35 (1984) 697.
- [6] P. Gaspard, Phys. Lett. A 97 (1984) 1.
- [7] P. Glendinning, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 105 (1989) 597.
- [8] C. Laing and P. Glendinning, in preparation (1995).
- [9] C. Tresser, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré 40 (1984) 441.
- 10-AC Fowler and CT Seemond

[12] A.R. Champneys and J.F. Toland, Nonlinearity 6 (1993) 665. The observant reader will have noted that one [14] A. Améodo, P. Coullet and C. Tresser. I Stat Phys. 27 umg or uit utgeneran [16] P. Glendinning, Stability, instability and chaos: an introduction to the qualitative theory of ordinary differential equations (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1994). $\mathbf{0}$ $\lambda_1 = 0$ $\mathbf{0}$ [17] E.J. Doedel and J.P. Kernevez, AUTO: Software for continu- (13) $\mathbf 0$ $\bf{0}$ $\mathbf{1}$ v_1 ation and bifurcation problems in ordinary differential equations, Report, Applied Mathematics, California Institute of Technology (1986).